

Planning Team Report

Ballina LEP 2012 - Reclassification of Council owned waterways, Ballina

Proposal Title :	Ballina LEP 2012 - Reclassifica	ation of Council owned wate	erways, Ballina		
Proposal Summary :	The proposal seeks to reclassify Lot 63, DP 263861 and Lot 132, DP 775228, Ballina Quays canals in West Ballina and Lot 50, DP 259593, Banyanda Lake, in Ballina from community to operational land. The purpose of the reclassification is to enable Council to approve private structures such as jetties, pontoons and boat ramps within the waterways and resolve potential public liability issues with these structures.				
PP Number ;	PP_2013_BALLI_008_00	Dop File No :	13/14468		
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	28-Aug-2013	LGA covered :	Ballina		
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Ballina Shire	Council	
State Electorate :	BALLINA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal	
LEP Type :	Reclassification				
Location Details					
Street : Ba	llina Quays Canals				
Suburb :	City :	West Ballina	Postcode :	2478	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 63, DP 263861 and Lot 132, DP	775228			
Street : Ba	inyanda Lake				
Suburb :	City :	Ballina	Postcode :	2478	
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 50, DP 259593				
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Jon Stone				
Contact Number :	0267019688				
Contact Email :	jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov.a	au			
RPA Contact Det	ails				
Contact Name :	Lachlan Sims				
Contact Number :	0266864444				
Contact Email :	council@ballina.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Man	ager Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					

Ballina LEP 2012 - Reclassification of Council owned waterways, Ballina

equacy Assessmen	nt jectives - s55(2)(a)			and the second
External Supporting Notes :	In accordance with the require held into the reclassification a	ements of the Local Governmen t a later stage.	t Act, a public hear	ing will be
	The lands currently have publ interests with the approval of	ic reserve status and Council w the Governor.	ill seek to discharg	e these
Internal Supporting Notes :		y zoned W2 Recreational Water development standard is propo		
Supporting notes				5
If Yes, comment :				
registered lobbyists?				
meetings or communications with				
Have there been	No			
If No, comment :				
complied with :				
Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been				
The NSW Government	Yes			
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
9 g a 1 a 2		Employment land) :		
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential /	N/A	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Regional Strategy :	Far North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	

Comment : The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the Planning Proposal to reclassify the land from community to operational.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended changes to the reclassify the land by inclusion in Schedule 4, Part 2 (interest changed) of the Ballina LEP 2012.

Ballina LEP 2012 - Reclassification of Council owned waterways, Ballina

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other The Far North Coast Regional Strategy applies to the Ballina LGA. matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs, section 117 Directions and the Far North Coast Regional Strategy.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

No changes to the Ballina LEP 2012 maps will be necessary to facilitate the proposal. An aerial photo map identifying the sites and their location relative to the Richmond River, North Creek Canal and Ballina is included in the Planning Proposal and is considered adequate for exhibition purposes.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

As the Planning Proposal seeks to reclassify land from community to operational, a 28 day public exhibition period has been proposed by Council. Council has also confirmed that a public hearing for the reclassification will be conducted after the conclusion of the public exhibition period. The proposed community consultation is considered to be appropriate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the
adequacy criteria by:
1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provision proposed by the Amending LEP to
achieve the outcomes;
3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
4. Outlining the proposed community consultation program; and
5. Providing an adequate Project Timeline.

Ballina LEP 2012 - Reclassification of Council owned waterways, Ballina

Council is not seeking an Authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations in this instance due to Council's interests in the land and its public reserve status. As approval from the Governor is required Council cannot use thier delegations.

The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for submission to the Department for finalisation in April 2014. The submitted project time line is considered as reasonable and a 9 month time frame for completion of the proposal is recommended.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

Tha Ballina LEP 2012 was notified on 4 February 2013.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal is needed as it is the only avenue available to Council to recalssify the land from community to operational status.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	While the RPA has nominated a number of relevant section 117 directions, the Planning Proposal includes advice that it is consistent with all applicable directions.
	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant section 117
	Directions, SEPPs, the Far North Coast Regional Strategy and The Ballina Shire Growth Management Strategy 2012 that was prepared by Council and approved by the Deputy Director General in May 2013.
	The subject lands are currently zoned W2 Recreational Waterway under the Ballina LEP 2012. No change in zoning or development standard is proposed or needed in association with the reclassification.
	The Planning Proposal identifies that the existing public reserve status of the land is to be discharged. This will require the approval of the Governor.
	In accordance with the requirements of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans',
	the Planning Proposal confirms that:
	1. it is not the result of any strategic study or report;
	it is consistent with Council's community strategic plan and local planning strategy;interests in the land will be extinguished; and
	4. Council is the only landowner.
	The Planning Proposal has also been prepared in accordance with Practice Note PN09-003 Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan.
	Additional information has been provided in the Planning Proposal where necessary to address matters raised in the practice note.
Environmental social economic impacts :	No adverse environmental, social or economic impacts have been identified as likely to occur as a result of the Planning Proposal.
10 - C	

A public hearing will address any issues of community concern.

ssessment Process					
Proposal type : Ro	outine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
	months		Delegation :	DDG	
LEP :					
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :					
Is Public Hearing by the PA	C required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter pro	oceed ?	Yes			
	lo consultation wi eclassification.	ith any public	authority is needed in rela	tion to the propos	ed
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) :	No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional stud	ies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons :					
	needed in relatio	n to the propo	osed reclassification.		
No additional studies are			osed reclassification.		
No additional studies are Identify any internal consu	ltations, if required		osed reclassification.		
No additional studies are Identify any internal consu No internal consultation i	ltations, if required required	1:			
No additional studies are Identify any internal consu No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin	ltations, if required required	1:			
No additional studies are Identify any internal consu No internal consultation i	ltations, if required required	1:			
No additional studies are Identify any internal consul No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons :	ltations, if required required	1:			1 1 June 1 2 - 1 1 12
No additional studies are Identify any internal consul No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons :	ltations, if required required	1:		Name	Is Public
No additional studies are Identify any internal consu No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name	Itations, if required required g of state infrastru	1:	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cove		Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consul No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons :	Itations, if required required og of state infrastru	1:	to this plan? No		
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning_F	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf	1:	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cove		Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning_Feam Recommendation	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf	I : Icture relevant	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal	ring Letter	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning_Feam Recommendation	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf nendation ag proposal suppor 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co	I : Incture relevant	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cove	ring Letter	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning _F Common Team Recommon Preparation of the planning	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf nendation ag proposal suppor 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Proposal	I : Incture relevant Inted at this state tection Inservation Inservation Inservation Inservation Inservation	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal ge : Recommended with C	ring Letter	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning _F Common Team Recommon Preparation of the planning	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf Dendation ag proposal suppor 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Prone 5.1 Implementa	I : Incture relevant Inted at this state tection Inservation Is Soils In Land Intion of Region	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal ge : Recommended with C	ring Letter	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning _F Common Team Recommon Preparation of the planning	Itations, if required required og of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf Dendation og proposal suppor 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Prone 5.1 Implementa 6.1 Approval ar	rted at this sta tection mservation e Soils e Land ntion of Region nd Referral Re	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal ge : Recommended with C nal Strategies equirements	ring Letter	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning_F Hanning Team Recommon Preparation of the plannin S.117 directions:	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf Dendation ag proposal suppor 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Prone 5.1 Implementa 6.1 Approval ar 6.2 Reserving I 1 The Planning	rted at this statection escolar tection escolar anservation escolar and Referral Re- and for Public a Proposal be	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal ge : Recommended with C hal Strategies equirements c Purposes supported;	ring Letter	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consul No internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning _F Ianning Team Recommon Preparation of the plannin	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf Proposal support 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Prone 5.1 Implementa 6.1 Approval ar 6.2 Reserving L 1. The Planning 2. The Planning	rted at this statection esoils a Land and for Public g Proposal be a Proposal be	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal ge : Recommended with C nal Strategies equirements c Purposes supported; exhibited for 28 days;	ring Letter onditions	Yes
No additional studies are Identify any internal consultation of Is the provision and fundin If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name 2013_08_28_Cover_Lette 2013_08_28_Planning_F Ianning Team Recommon Preparation of the plannin S.117 directions:	Itations, if required required ag of state infrastru er.pdf Proposal.pdf Proposal suppor 2.2 Coastal Pro 2.3 Heritage Co 4.1 Acid Sulfate 4.3 Flood Prone 5.1 Implementa 6.1 Approval ar 6.2 Reserving I 1. The Planning 2. The Planning 3. The Planning	rted at this statection escolar and for Public g Proposal be g Proposal be g Proposal be	to this plan? No DocumentType Proposal Cover Proposal ge : Recommended with C hal Strategies equirements c Purposes supported;	onditions	Yes

Ballina LEP 2012 - Reclassification of Council owned waterways, Ballina Planning and Assessment Act 1979 be held after completion of the public exhibition period; and 6. That details of the interests being discharged (public reserve status) be included in the Planning Proposal prior to exhibition. 7. That delegation to finalise the proposal not be issued to Council in view of the involvement of the Governor. Supporting Reasons : The proposal seeks to reclassify Lot 63, DP 263861 and Lot 132, DP 775228, Ballina Quays canals in West Ballina and Lot 50, DP 259593, Banyanda Lake, in Ballina from community to operational land. The purpose of the reclassification is to enable Council to approve private structures such as jetties, pontoons and boat ramps within the waterways and resolve potential public liability issues with these structures. The Planning Proposal is needed as it is the only avenue available to Council to reclassify the land from community to operational status. The subject lands are currently zoned W2 Recreational Waterway under the Ballina LEP 2012. No change in zoning or development standard is proposed or needed in association with the reclassification. Signature: JIM CLARK 4 September 2013 Printed Name: Date: